Version 1.2, Nov 2002

OLIN-WILMINGTON LEVEL I DATA QUALITY EVALUATION STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE AND CHECKLIST VOLATILE ORGANICS BY METHOD SW8260B/624

Reviewer/Date	lige (vaningham G)	18/10	0
Sr. Review/Date	Chins Richadi	6/2:	5/10
Lab Report #	360-26874-1	1	<u>l</u>
Project #G_j	07100016-12		

OC-GW-IGR only

Note: The following analyses will be evaluated according to the "MADEP QA/QC Guidelines for Sampling, Data Evaluation and Reporting Activities." MADEP, however, may not list QA/QC criteria for every chemical analysis. Where not defined by MADEP, criteria will default to values stipulated in the QAPP. Where the QAPP does not define criteria, QA/QC requirements will default to limits employed by the laboratory.

	not define criteria, QA/QC requirements will default to limits employed by the laboratory.	
1.0	Laboratory Deliverable Requirements	
	1.1 Laboratory Information: Was all of the following provided in the laboratory report? Check items received.	
	☐ Name of Laboratory ☐ Address ☐ Project ID ☐ Phone # Client Information: ☐ Name ☐ Address ☐ Client Con	Sample identification – Field and Laboratory
	Client Information:	ntact (IDs must be cross-referenced)
ACTIO	ON: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.	
	1.2 Laboratory Report Certification Statement	Yes [No [] N/A [] Comments:
	Does the laboratory report include a completed Analytical Report Certification in the	required format?
ACTIO	N: If no, contact lab for submission of missing certification or certification with correct t	format.
	1.3 Laboratory Case Narrative:	Yes [No [] N/A [] Comments:
	Narrative serves as an exception report for the project and method QA/QC performance	e. A Narrative includes an explanation of each discrepancy on the
		Certification Statement.
ACTIO	N: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.	
	1.4 Chain of Custody (COC) copy present with all documentation completed?	Yes No No N/A Comments:
	Does the laboratory report include completed Chain of Custody forms containing all samples	in this SDG?
NOTE:	Olin receives and maintains the <i>original</i> COC.	
ACTIO	ON: If no, contact lab for submission of copy of completed COC.	
	1.5 Sample Receipt Information (Cooler Receipt Form present?):	

	Yes [V]	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
Were each of the following tasks completed and recorded upon receipt of the sample(s) into the laborated \square Sample temperature confirmed: must be $1^{\circ} - 10^{\circ}$ C. (If samples were sent by courier and delivered or		ay as collect	ion, temperatu	re requirement does not apply).
Container type noted Condition observed Field and lab IDs cross referenced				
ACTION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or incomplete documentation.		2		
1.5.1 Were the correct bottles and preservatives used?	/		N/A []	
Water - 40 mL VOA vial/HCL to pH<2, cool to 4°C Soil - 5 gram Encore TM /cool to 4°C or 40 mL VOA vial with field preservation of sodium bisulfate (low-level) or methanol (high-level) or field preservation in water if soils are reactive to sodium bisulfate (i.e. alkaline conditions, excessive humic acid content, etc.)	Yes V	No L	N/A []	Comments:
ACTION: If no, inform senior chemist. Document justification for change in container/vo cooler temperature exceeds 10°C. Rejection of data requires professional judgment	olume (if ap	oplicable); (qualify both	positive data and non-detect data (J) if
ACTION: If each VOA vial for a sample contains air bubbles or the VOA vial analyzed cor	ntained air b	oubbles, fla	g positives (J) and reject nondetects (R).
1.5.2 Were all samples delivered to the laboratory without breakage?	Yes V	No []	N/A []	Comments:
1.5.3 Does the <i>Cooler Receipt Form</i> or Lab Narrative indicate other problems with sample receipt, condition of the samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data?	Yes [_]	No [1	N/A [_]	Comments:
1.6 Sample Results Section: Was the following information supplied in the laboratory report for each sample?	Yes [No []	N/A []	Comments:
Field ID and Lab ID Clean-up method N/A Matrix Date and time collected Analyst Initials Preparation method Units	Dilution Date of press (soils must	Factor reparation/ex be reported	☐ % motorstraction/digestin dry weight)	oisture or solids Reporting limit
ACTION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or incomplete information.				e .
1.7 QA/QC Information: Was the following information provided in the laboratory report for each sample batch?	Yes 🗾	No []	N/A [_]	Comments:

Page 2 of 10

☐ Met	thod blank results
ACTIO	ON: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or incomplete information.
2.0	Holding Times
	Have any technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? Yes [] No [N/A] Comments:
	For water samples, the holding time is 7 days (aromatics) from sampling for unpreserved samples and 14 days for preserved samples.
	For soil samples, the holding time is 14 days from sampling if field preserved with sodium bisulfate/methanol/or water. If an Encore™ sampler was used, the lab must <i>preserve</i> the sample within 48 hours. Analytical holding time from time of preservation is 14 days.
NOTE	: List samples that exceed hold time with # of days exceeded on checklist
	ON: If technical holding times are exceeded, qualify all positive results (J) and non-detects (UJ). For water samples that are grossly exceeded (>2X hold time) reject (R) all non-results. For soil samples professional judgement will be used to determine if rejection is necessary.
3.0	Laboratory Method
	3.1 Was the correct laboratory method used? Purge and Trap Water: 5030B Soil: 5035 Volatile Organics 8260B Yes No NA Comments:
ACTIO	ON: If no, contact lab to provide justification for method change compared to the requested method. Contact senior chemist to inform Client of change or to request variance.
	3.2 Are the practical quantitation limits the same as those specified by the Yes No NA Comments: SOW □ QAPP □ Lab □ MADEP
Evaluation that are	te PQLs with respect to sample matrix, preparation, dilution, moisture, etc. If sample PQL is indeterminate, contact lab for explanation. Provide a listing of all samples with PQLs e elevated due to dilution, sample matrix, or preparation factors.

Page 3 of 10

3.3 Are the appropriate parameter results present for each sample in the SDG?	Yes [V]	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
NOTE: The MADEP QA/QC Guidelines requires a minimum compound reporting list for volations organic compounds. Determine target compound requirement and verify reporting list.	i reforte	ed for	Sample	OC-GW-16R
3.4 Were Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) reported?	Yes []	No [N/A []	Comments:
NOTE : TICs are only required for samples with full MADEP target list. Determine if TIC are required. MADEP requires that all TICs be reported to the LCS. Per the MADE guidance, TICs, which are identified as aliphatic hydrocarbons, do not have to be reported as TICs. However, these compounds must be evaluated as part of the health-based ris assessment approach (VPH/EPH).	P ed			
ACTION: Qualify reported TIC results as estimated and flag (NJ).	,			
3.5 If dilutions were required, were dilution factors reported?	Yes 🔃	No []	N/A [_]	Comments: 25× on Samp
NOTE: MADEP guidance states that if a diluted and an undiluted analysis is performed the laboratory should report results for the lowest dilution within the valid calibration range for each analyte.				25× on Samp OC-GW-16R
ACTION: If no, contact the lab for submission.				
.0 Method Blanks				
4.1 Are the Method Blank Summaries present?	Yes [No []	N/A []	Comments:
ACTION: If no, call the laboratory for submission of missing data.				
4.2 Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical batch of 20 samples or less?	Yes [1	No []	N/A []	Comments:

8260B.doc

Page 4 of 10

CTION: If no, document discrepancy in case narrative and contact lab for justification. Consult senior chemist for action needed.	
4.3 Is the method blank less than the PQL? (See attached table for PQLs). Yes No NA Comments:	
NOTE: MADEP allows common laboratory contaminants (acetone, methylene chloride and 2-butanone) to be present at concentrations < 5x the PQL.	
4.4 Do any method blanks have positive results for VOC parameters? Qualify data Yes No N/A Comments:	
For the common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, toluene, and 2-butanone):	
If the sample concentration is $< 10 \times$ blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the PQL or the concentration reported if greater than the PQL.	
If the sample concentration is $> 10 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.	
For other VOC contaminants:	
If the sample concentration is $< 5 \times$ blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the PQL or the concentration reported if greater than the PQL.	
If the sample concentration is $> 5 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.	
ACTION: If any blank has positive results, list all the concentrations detected and flagging level (flagging level = 10x or 5 × blank value) on the checklist. List all affected sample representations are represented as a support of the checklist.	oles and
.0 <u>Laboratory Control Standards</u>	
5.1 Was a laboratory control standard (LCS) run with each analytical batch of 20 Yes No No No NA Comments: samples or less?	
ACTION: If no, call laboratory for LCS form submittal. If data is not available, use professional adgment to determine qualification actions for data associated with that batch.	

8260B.doc

Page 5 of 10

5.2	Is a LCS Summary Form present?	Yes [No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
ACTION: If no	o, contact lab for resubmission of missing data.				
5.2	Is the recovery of any analyte outside of control limits?	Yes []	No N	N/A []	Comments:
NOTE: A ful	I target, second source LCS is required by MADEP.				
NOTE: Use	MADEP guidelines list LCS recovery limits of 70-130.				
ACTION: If redetect results with	ecovery is above the upper limit, qualify all positive sample results within the batch a thin the batch as (J). If LCS recovery is <10%, non-detect results are rejected (R). Do	s (J). If reco	overy is belo fied compou	w the lower li	mit but > 10%, qualify all positive and no- nt recoveries in the validation report.
			/		
5.4 Ar	e 80% of LCS recoveries within laboratory control limits?	Yes 🔽	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
	If 80% of LCS recoveries are not within limits, use professional judgment Senior Chemist.				
6.0 Matrix	x Spikes				
Matrix spikes senior chemist	may be collected at different frequencies based on monthly, quarterly, or ta	isk specific	schedules.	Confirm sp	ike requirements for each set with the
6.1	Were project-specific MS/MSDs collected? List project samples that were spiked.		. /		
ACTION: If no	, contact senior chemist to see if any were specified.	Yes	No 🔄	N/A []	Comments:
6.2	Is the MS/MSD Recovery Form present?				
	o, contact lab for resubmission of missing data.	Yes	No []	N/A	Comments:
6.3	Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency of 1 per 20 samples per matrix?	Yes []	No[]	N/A [V]	Comments:
ACTION: If an	ny matrix spike data is missing, call lab for resubmission.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 4	3	
				The state of the s	
8260B.doc		Page 6	of 10		

OLIN-WILMINGTON LEVEL I DATA QUALITY EVALUATION STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE AND CHECKLIST

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS BY METHOD 8260B/624

								(CC)				
6	Are any VOC s	pike recoverie	es outside of th	ie QC	limits?	Yes []	No LA	N/A [V	Comments	:		
	NOTE:	%R = SA	(SSR-SR)	x	100% SA = Spike added		WI	here:	SSR =	Spiked SR	sample = Sample	resul e resul
NOTE:	A <u>full</u> target, second	source MS/N	MSD is requir	ed by	MADEP.							
NOTE:	MADEP guidelines li	ist MS/MSD i	recovery limit	ts as ī	70-130.							
NOTES					outside of the control limits, no non-project sample, no qualific			se professio	nal judgment t	for the MS	/MSD flags.	Ó
estimat	ON: MS/MSD flags ted (J). If the recover non-detects (R).	only apply ies of the M	to the sampleS and MSD	le spi are lo	ked. If the recoveries of the lower than the lower control	ne MS and MS limit, qualify b	SD exceed oth positive	the upper o	control limit d non-detect	, qualify s (J). If i	positive re recovery is	sults a < 10%
•	6.5 Are any RPDs for M	S/MSD recov	eries outside o	of the (OA/OC limits?				/			
	$RPD = \underbrace{S - D}_{(S + D)/2} \times I$			lt	()	Yes []	No []	N/A [Comments	s:		
NOTE:	: MADEP guidelines li	ist MS/MSD i	RPD limits fo	r both	water and soils as < 20.							
ACTION	N: If the RPD exceed	s the control	limit, qualify	y posi	tive results and non-detects	(J).						
7.0	Surrogate Recoverie	<u>s</u>					,					
,	Were one or more V	OC surrogate	e recoveries	outsio	de of laboratory limits for a	ny Yes [_]	No [V]	N/A []	Comments	s:		
8260B.do	c	<u> </u>				Page 7 o	of 10					

sample or method blank? If yes, were samples re-analyzed?	
NOTE: $%R = QD \times 100\%$ Where: $S = MS$ sample result $D = MSD$ sample result	t .
NOTE: No qualification is required if two of the surrogates are within acceptable QC limits. If surrogate recoveries fail due to dilution, results are not flagged. Document on checklist and in the case narrative.	
NOTE: MADEP guidelines list surrogate limits for both water and soils as 70-130%. Surrogate recovery limits greater than \pm 30% are allowed for difficult matrices (wastes, sludges, etc.) with appropriate analytical documentation.	
ACTION: If recoveries are >10%, but fail to meet quality control criteria: (1) For recover 2) For recoveries above the QC limit, qualify only positives (J). If any surrogate recover lagged as stated above), flag positives (J) and reject nondetects (R).	ries below the QC limit but >10%, qualify nondetects and positives (J), and y is <10% (unless the QC limits are below 10%, in which case, results are
.0 Sampling Accuracy	
8.1 Were trip blanks shipped with VOC samples and analyzed?	Ver I I No IVI N/A I 1 Commente:
NOTE: MADEP requires trip blanks per the following frequency:	Yes [No [N/A [] Comments: No trip blank Submis
Soil/Sediment Aqueous Drinking Water	100 (Blank Sysmi
Option 1 Not Required Not Required 1 per cooler VOAs/VPH	
Option 3 1 per 10 samples 1 per 10 samples 1 per 10 samples	
8.2 Do any trip blanks have positive results?	Yes No No N/A Comments:
ACTION: Prepare a list of samples shipped in the same cooler as a contaminated blank.	
f the sample concentration is $< 5 \times$ blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the PQL or the o	concentration reported if greater than the PQL.
f the sample concentration is $> 5 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

8260B.doc

Page 8 of 10

The majority of ground water samples are collected directly from a tap, process stream, Yes N/A N/A Comments:	
or with dedicated tubing. Rinse blanks will not be collected.	
8.3 Were rinsate blanks collected? Prior to evaluating rinsate blanks, obtain a list of the associated samples from the senior chemist.	
NOTE: MADEP does not specify the collection of rinsate blanks.	
8.4 Do any rinsate blanks have positive results? Yes [] No [] N/A [] Comments:	
ACTION: Evaluate rinsate results against blank results to determine if contaminant may be laboratory-, ambient-, or shipment-derived. If ambient-, or shipment-related, qualify according to the table above (8.2).	results are not la
9.0 <u>Field Duplicates</u>	
9.1 Were field duplicate samples collected? Obtain a list of samples and their associated Yes No NA Comments:	
9.2 Were field duplicates collected per the required frequency? Yes [_] No [_] N/A [_] Comments:	
□ SOW □ QAPP (1 per 10) □ MADEP Option 1(1 per 20)	
☐ MADEP Option 3 (1 per 10)	
9.3 Was the RPD \leq 50% for soils or 30% for waters? Calculate the RPD for all results and attach to this review. Comments:	
ACTION: Qualify data (J) for both sample results if the RPD goal is exceeded.	

8260B.doc

Page 9 of 10

10.0	Application of Validation Qualifiers				
	Was any of the data qualified?	Yes []	No [V	N/A []	Comments:
	If so, apply data qualifiers directly to the DQE copy of laboratory report and flag pages for entry in database.				

REFERENCES

- LAW, 1999, "Final Quality Assurance Project Plan, Olin Wilmington Property, 51 Eames Street, Wilmington, MA", LAW Engineering and Environmental Services, Kennesaw, GA 30144. August 1999.
- STL-Westfield, 2002. "Olin General Chemistry Control Limits (Soil & Water)," Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc., 53 Southampton Road, Westfield, MA, 01085.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1996. "Region 1 EPA-NE Data Validation Guidelines For Evaluating Environmental Analyses"; Quality Assurance Unit Staff; Office of Environmental Measurement and Evaluation; December 1996.
- MADEP, 2001. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, "Massachusetts Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA / QC Requirements," BWSC-CAM, Interim Final Draft, Revision No. 2, 5 October 2001.
- MADEP, 2001. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, "Quality Assurance / Quality Control Guidelines for Sampling, Data Evaluation and reporting Activities," BWSC-CAM, Section VII, Public Comment Draft, Revision No. 0, 21 December 2001.

Page 10 of 10